

OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCES BOARD
OFFICIAL MINUTES
November 18, 2014

1. Call to Order

The regular monthly meeting of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board was called to order by Chairman Rudy Herrmann at 9:30 a.m., on November 18, 2014, in the second floor meeting room of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 3800 N. Classen Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

The meeting was conducted pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Meeting Law with due and proper notice provided pursuant to Sections 303 and 311 thereof. The agenda was posted on November 14, 2014, at 4:15 p.m. at the Oklahoma Water Resources Board's offices at 3800 N. Classen Boulevard, and provided on the agency's website.

Chairman Herrmann welcomed everyone to the meeting, and asked for the roll call of members. He asked Mr. Drummond to serve as acting secretary in Mr. Buchanan's absence.

A. Roll Call

Board Members Present

Rudy Herrmann, Chairman
Linda Lambert, Vice Chairman
Richard Sevenoaks
Bob Drake
Ford Drummond
Ed Fite

Board Members Absent

Tom Buchanan, Secretary
Marilyn Feaver
Jason Hitch

Staff Members Present

J.D. Strong, Executive Director
Sara Gibson, Assistant General Counsel
Amanda Storck, Chief, Administrative Services Division
Joe Freeman, Chief, Financial Assistance Division
Julie Cunningham, Chief, Planning and Management Division
Derek Smithee, Water Quality Programs Division
Lauren Sturgeon, Director of External Affairs
Mary Schooley, Executive Secretary

Others Present

Jared Donalson, Public Finance Law Group, Oklahoma City, OK
Russell Doughty, Oklahomans for Responsible Water Policy
Charlie Swinton, BancFirst, Oklahoma City, OK
Tom Lazarski, OG&E, Oklahoma City, OK
Joe Taron, Shawnee, OK

B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Herrmann stated the draft minutes of the October 23, 2014, Regular Meeting minutes had been electronically distributed.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the October 23, 2014, minutes, as presented and Mr. Drake seconded. There were no comments or corrections, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

A YE: Drake, Fite, Sevenoaks, Drummond, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: Lambert

ABSENT: Buchanan, Feaver, Hitch

C. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. J.D. Strong, Executive Director, addressed the members and asked Ms. Lauren Sturgeon to present the legislative report. Ms. Sturgeon stated that the state legislative deadlines had been released, and today the House members would be sworn in. On the Federal level, she stated a lot of discussion has been centered on the continuing resolution that is in effect until December 11; the appropriations measures have not been passed by either house, which includes environmental appropriations. She noted in her report the letter penned by Oklahoma Secretary of Energy and Environment Teague and Oklahoma Secretary of Agriculture Reese, along with the OWRB, ODEQ, and OCC, regarding a recommendation to the Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Corps of Engineers on the Waters of the U.S. rules. Chairman Herrmann asked how "cast in stone" the wording of the proposed rule is and how comments would be accepted. Ms. Sturgeon said that she and Mr. Strong had participated in numerous conference calls and there has been a willingness to work with them; the deadline was last Friday (November 14) so the future will prove whether the recommendations will be considered. Mr. Strong said he had been involved in genuine consultation efforts in the past on various regulations, and this is not the same and there has been cold indifference; while there has been opportunity to express concerns, "the train has left the station." He said there has now been a shift in Republican control in the Senate and Senator Inhofe will take the helm of the Environment and Public Works Committee in the Senate and will weigh-in in EPA activities and appropriations, but there is a lot happening right now following elections, so stay tuned. Ms. Sturgeon said another continuing resolution will need to be passed to fund the government through the end of the year, and regarding the WOTUS, it will be a few months to review comments and it will not be finalized in the near future because of the shift in the Senate. The members commented about the Agricultural and cattle industry and other states' Governors' comments regarding the WOTUS issue, whether the government will shut down, and the agency's relationship with the Oklahoma Congressional Delegation.

Mr. Strong reported the mediation meetings continue and there is time on the agenda for an executive session to update the members on litigation matters. The Arkansas-Oklahoma Arkansas River Compact Commission met in Rogers, AR, on October 30 and was uneventful, and he spoke at the Ag Expo on November 4. He and FAP staff attended the City of Norman water reclamation

facility groundbreaking on November 5 (\$50.3 million facility funded by the OWRB) which is a first phase in the process to re-use water for drinking purposes as regulations are being resolved at the state level, and Mr. Freeman and Mr. Strong explained the project. Mr. Strong continued his report saying he had spoken about Water for 2060 at the Cherokee Strip Fall Lecture Series (NOC) on water issues, he spoke at the Cameron University water conservation seminar and spoke at the Oklahoma Farm Bureau annual convention on Sunday morning and had a good Q&A session. Additionally, the OWRB staff, Corps of Engineers and consulting engineers kicked off the instream flow pilot study which funding is available for the Illinois River Watershed, and staff will be reaching out to stakeholders. Water for 2060 Council meets following the Board meeting today and Mr. Strong will be traveling to Chicago to speak to The Nature Conservancy Global Water Summit about water market/water for 2060. He will meet with the Ag groups on November 20 about water plans for agriculture, and staff will be meeting with Senator-elect Floyd on dam safety issues which were a result of an interim study.

Mr. Strong noted the proposed schedule of 2015 regular meetings for the third Tuesday of each month, with the exception of Water Day at the Capitol which is set for Wednesday, March 11. The Board requested the March meeting be held in conjunction with Water Day. The next OWRB meeting will be on December 16, 2014, in the Oklahoma City meeting room at 9:30 a.m. Mr. Strong concluded his report noting the OWRB employees had broken their goal for the State Charitable Contributions Campaign and raised \$10,300.00. Simeon Stoitzev, OWRB campaign coordinator was recognized by the United Way and SCC as the State's Outstanding State Charitable Campaign Coordinator over all state agencies in Oklahoma.

Mr. Sevenoaks asked about the Tulsa World article on agency director salaries, and the Cherokee activities regarding a water quality study. Mr. Smithee responded they had been attending the Scenic River Joint Study Committee meetings and there was an article which mentioned the tribe and the study; however, the study is a joint effort between Oklahoma and Arkansas. Mr. Fite added the Cherokees are developing a water plan; he complimented Mr. Smithee on his excellent work with the joint study committee on behalf of the State. Mr. Drake and Mr. Fite also complimented Mr. Strong on his presentation to the Oklahoma Farm Bureau and the recent legislative interim study.

D. Monthly Budget Report

Ms. Amanda Storck, Chief, Administrative Services Division, stated to the members that the monthly budget report for October 2014 had been provided. She said the agency has 80% of total funding available with 67% of the fiscal year remaining. She mentioned there had been a lag in billing with many partners, which reflected fluctuation in funds available. She said in submitting the budget she is also working through an upgrade in the program, and she is also working with the state performance team with aligning the agency goals with the state goals. Mr. Sevenoaks asked about whether the agency had not been aligned with the state's goals and Ms. Storck answered she is in the process of determining how agency programs align with the State goals. Mr. Strong explained the process of alignment is not that the State and the agency had goals that did not align, the State has not had statewide goals previously and that has been left to the agencies and strategic planning. The State now has established broad, statewide goals which many agencies can contribute toward, and the process is underway through the Office of Management and Budget. Ms. Storck will be making a presentation on the agency strategic plan later in the agenda.

There were no other questions by members.

2. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION

A. Consideration of and Possible Action on a Proposed Resolution Authorizing the Reallocation of Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A Proceeds. Recommended for Approval. Mr. Joe Freeman, Chief, Financial Assistance Division, stated to the members that this item is a resolution authorizing allocation of additional bond proceeds of the Board's series 2013A Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Bond Issue to be used as state matching funds. The original estimate of the funds from the series 2013A bond issue needed for state match was \$2,265,800.00. He said in July the 2014 Drinking Water SRF Capitalization Grant application was submitted to EPA and Oklahoma was awarded the Cap grant in September of \$14,271,000.00 and requires 20% state matching funds of \$2,850,200.00, therefore, \$584,400.00 of the 2013A Bond Issue needs to be allocated for state match in order to receive the \$14,271,000.00. Mr. Freeman said there is currently \$5.7 million in the 2013A bond issue available for reallocation. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the resolution authorizing reallocation of proceeds, and Ms. Lambert seconded.

Mr. Sevenoaks asked if the match is from the reserve fund, and Mr. Freeman explained when a bond issue is done, it is estimated what is needed for cash flow to make draw for loans, and then estimated what is needed for the next two years for state matching funds for capitalization grants. Because it is not known what is needed, at the time of a bond issue a certain amount is allocated for loan draws and a certain amount allocated for state match. The Department of Environmental Quality applies for the capitalization grant subsequent to the closing of the bond issue, and once the amount of the grant is known, under tax law the proceeds may be reallocated for the exact dollar amount, which is the purpose of the resolution. Mr. Sevenoaks asked if the matching funds are part of the bond proceeds and is that allowed, and Mr. Freeman answered yes because it will still be used for loan proceeds.

There were no other questions, and Chairman Herrmann asked for the vote.

AYE: Drake, Fite, Sevenoaks, Drummond, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Buchanan, Feaver, Hitch

3. SUMMARY DISPOSITION AGENDA ITEMS

Any item listed under this Summary Disposition Agenda may, at the requested of any member of the Board, the Board's staff, or any other person attending this meeting, may be transferred to the Special Consideration Agenda. Under the Special Consideration Agenda, separate discussion and vote or other action may be taken on any items already listed under that agenda or items transferred to that agenda from this Summary Disposition Agenda.

A. Requests to Transfer Items from Summary Disposition Agenda to the Special Consideration Agenda, and Action on Whether to Transfer Such Items.

Chairman Herrmann read the statement above and asked if there were requests to transfer items from the Summary Disposition Agenda to the Special Consideration Agenda. There were no requests to transfer items.

B. Discussion, Questions, and Responses Pertaining to Any Items Remaining on Summary Disposition Agenda and Action on Items and Approval of Items listed.

Mr. J.D. Strong stated items 3.D.1. and 3.D.2. need to be withdrawn from the Board's consideration; the agreements are still being worked through. Ms. Cunningham asked that item 3.K.2.,

permit #2014-13 for Mark White in Wagoner County be withdrawn. There were no other changes, and Chairman Herrmann stated he would entertain a motion to approve the Summary Disposition Agenda.

Ms. Lambert moved to approve the Summary Disposition Agenda, and Mr. Drummond seconded. There being no further questions regarding items listed, Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Drake, Fite, Sevenoaks, Drummond, Lambert, Herrmann
 NAY: None
 ABSTAIN: None
 ABSENT: Buchanan, Feaver, Hitch

The following items were approved:

C. Consideration of and Possible Action on Financial Assistance Division Items:

1. Rural Economic Action Plan (REAP) Grant Applications:

<u>Item No.</u>	<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Entity Name</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Amount Recommended</u>
ASCOG				
a.	FAP-14-0043-R	Rural WS and SWM District #2	Grady	\$32,000.00
COEDD				
b.	FAP-02-0015-R	Town of Glencoe	Payne	69,999.00
c.	FAP-02-0005-R	Rural Water District #6	Hughes	99,500.00
KEDDO				
d.	FAP-14-0010-R	Keota Public Works Authority	Haskell	99,999.00
OEDA				
e.	FAP-14-0011-R	Buffalo Public Works Authority	Harper	94,500.00

D. Consideration of and Possible Action on Contracts and Agreements:

1. *Intergovernmental Agreement with Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation and Oklahoma Corporation Commission to collaborate to provide water quality data in support of technical studies related to ongoing fish kills in the Salt Fork of the Arkansas River. **Item withdrawn***

2. *Intergovernmental Agreement with Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality to purchase and install monitoring equipment to provide water quality data in support of technical studies related to ongoing fish kills in the Salt Fork of the Arkansas River. **Item withdrawn***

E. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications for Temporary Permits to Use Groundwater:

1. Jackie R. McNatt, Washita County, #2014-544
2. Gellenbeck Construction, Inc., Payne County, #2014-562
3. Chris Sylte Real Estate, L.P. and Jason Sylte Real Estate, L.P., McCurtain County, #2014-565
4. Kim R. & Cathy L. Johnson, Alfalfa County, #2014-593
5. Lazy E Ranch, L.L.C., Logan County, #2014-595
6. Carla Marie Stroh ZumMallen and Janette Ann Stroh Kuehn, Kingfisher County, #2014-596
7. Darrell E. & Deborah C. Mason, Alfalfa County, #2014-598
8. Junior S. & Joyce Hammrich, McCurtain County, #2014-604
9. Chris Sylte Real Estate, L.P., McCurtain County, #2014-605

- F. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications to Amend Temporary Permits to Use Groundwater:
1. Crooked Creek Duck Club, L.P., Caddo County, #2003-603
- G. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications for Regular Permits to Use Groundwater:
1. Martin P. & Kimberly D. Finch, Atoka County, #2014-582
 2. Hitch Enterprises, Inc., Texas County, #2014-588
 3. Amber K. Heflin, Ellis County, #2014-597
 4. Mike & Randa Wakefield, Beaver County, #2014-602
- H. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications to Amend Regular Permits to Use Groundwater:
1. Mid-Con Energy Operating, L.L.C., Carter County, #1980-587
 2. Dean & Twylah Simmons, Dean Simmons Farms, and Tyler Dean Trujillo, Texas County, #2001-582
- I. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications to Amend Prior Groundwater Rights:
1. Bryan Kroeker Revocable Trust, Alfalfa County, #1963-302
 2. Leroy Durham, Cimarron County, #1967-146
- J. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications for Regular Permits to Use Stream Water:
1. Gregory Little, Caddo County, #2011-012
 2. Cobblestone Event Center, Cleveland County, #2013-027
 3. Blackjack Land & Cattle Company, L.L.C., Grady County, #2014-043
 4. Lottawater, L.L.C., Kingfisher County, #2014-046
- K. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications for Term Permits to Use Stream Water:
1. Arthur Hoehne, Pittsburg County, #2013-041
 2. *Mark White, Wagoner County, #2014-013* **Item withdrawn**
- L. Consideration of and Possible Action on Dam and Reservoir Construction:
1. City of Duncan, Stephens County, #OK01192
- M. Consideration of and Possible Action on Well Driller and Pump Installer Licensing:
1. New Licenses and Operators:

a. Earth Tech	DPC-0920
1. Thomas Gasmann	OP-2061
 2. New Operators to Existing Licenses:

a. Licensee: Fire & Ice Geothermal, L.L.C.	DPC-0432
1. Operator: David Greene	OP-2062
b. Licensee: Whitetail Services, L.L.C.	DPC-0463
1. Operator: Bryce Hendren	OP-2065
c. Licensee: B & H Construction	DPC-0765
1. Operator: Joshua Moss	OP-2064
d. Licensee: Hollifield Services Company, L.L.C.	DPC-0891
1. Operator: Scott Daniels	OP-2063
- N. Consideration of and Possible Action on Permit Applications for Proposed Development on State Owned or Operated Property within Floodplain Areas:
1. Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Noble County, #FP-14-22
 2. Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Garvin County, #FP-14-23
 3. Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Cherokee County, #FP-14-24
 4. Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Pittsburg County, #FP-14-25
 5. Oklahoma Department of Transportation, Oklahoma County, #FP-14-26

- O. Consideration of and Possible Action on Applications for Accreditation of Floodplain Administrators: Names of floodplain administrators to be accredited and their associated communities are individually set out in the November 18, 2014 packet of Board materials.

4. QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION ABOUT AGENCY MATTERS AND OTHER ITEMS OF INTEREST.

A. Presentation and Discussion about Oklahoma Water Resources Board 2016-2020 Strategic Plan. Chairman Herrmann introduced this item saying one of the most important duties of a Board of Directors is to make sure there is a good understanding of the strategic plan of the organization and that the Board is committed to it. He said there is no formal process required, but he wanted the Board members to feel empowered to provide input as appropriate.

Ms. Amanda Storck, Chief, Administrative Services Division, stated to the members that the agency's management team and certain others met in August to review the OWRB Strategic Plan for 2016-2020. An overhaul of the plan was conducted three years ago, and this process included a review and update of items that had been completed, and adding items. She said the agency implements the components of the strategic plan, and described the 5-point planning process: the Legislature passes laws creating legislative intent, mandates and rules are considered and compared to mission, goals and agency structure. Administratively, staff sets objectives, targets, and budgets at the division level to achieve the goals, service to the public is provided, and staff tracks and evaluates program tasks to perform. Tracking and monitoring for the program evaluation is done through the budget request document each year, submitted annually October 1, at which time the divisions review in-depth the goals and performance measures for each section. She said the strategic plan is an agency-wide overview of what we do as an agency, and the budget request program evaluation goes into much more detail at the program level.

Ms. Storck said the components required in the strategic plan are: introductory information and description of planning process, the executive director executive summary, mission statement, vision statement, values and behaviors, long-term goals (5 years or more), agency key performance measures, agency-wide action plans; the budget request which details how the money appropriated was spent as well as what funds were received through revolving funds and federal funds. Mr. Sevenoaks asked if the action plans are an evaluation of the goals, and Ms. Storck responded the action plans are how to achieve the goals.

Ms. Storck reviewed the agency's mission statement and vision statement, values and behaviors, and said the agency strives for each employee to see how they contribute to the agency and to the citizens of Oklahoma. The vision is one that all should abide by, and employees are given responsibilities that best suit their needs and abilities. Ms. Lambert asked if these statements had changed in the last five years, and Ms. Storck answered that time had been spent on the mission and vision in the previous renovation, and the values and behaviors were revised this year as well as making more action-oriented changes. Mr. Strong added that as you review the document more deeply, reference to specific programs are seen; the mission and vision is what everyone has ownership in and is not specific to any program but important to all going forward. The actual goals and key performances is where the agency measures itself.

Chairman Herrmann asked about the agency's planning process and if an outside facilitator was used. Ms. Storck stated she had experience as a financial analyst with the Office of State Finance where the strategic planning process began and had a good base knowledge of strategic planning as well as having assisted several agencies in the planning process; she assumed those duties as OWRB Chief Administrative Officer, saving the expense of an outside consultant. She described the process including pre-work involved by every division and employee at the lowest level, and Mr. Strong added

the agency has used the money saved for training on management skills. Ms. Storck said the staff reviews agency-wide long term goals, and “gets into the weeds” during the budget process and that program evaluation occurs in the budget cycle, not the strategic planning cycle. She discussed that the budget request document is submitted to the Office of State Finance October 1 and goes before the House and Senate appropriations subcommittees each year. The zero-based budget justifies all the OWRB programs, i.e., for Planning and Management Division, all required statutory references are included, any cuts or additions to programs, and then each program (dam safety, floodplain management, technical studies, etc.) is detailed for all goals and measures so hundreds of performance measures are included in the budget request document. She reviewed the agency’s three long-term goals and how the individual programs align with the goals, and as the backdrop to the strategic planning process staff ensured the Comprehensive Water Plan recommendations were incorporated. She reviewed how key performance measures are achieved through action plans and the identified team leader, and she used data collection and loan assistance activities as examples of how the thorough process is accomplished. Regarding the statewide goals, division chiefs and staff met with state officials about how the OWRB fits into the statewide program – for example, the goal regarding healthy citizens and strong families has the topic of wellness and the statewide goal of food and water safety, so the OWRB goals would include permitting, technical studies, and water quality programs. This information is referenced in the budget request document.

Mr. Sevenoaks asked how much time is spent on the process, and Ms. Storck said during the months prior to the budget request document due date, about 80% of her time; but other months about 50%. She said she takes the process seriously as there is value in strategic planning and budgeting and reviewing expenditures. Mr. Strong added the process is cumbersome now because of the software changes over the past couple of years, which he described. He and the members talked about the responsibilities of the Chief Finance Officer and the budget process requirements for submitting the budget and the follow up process by OSF and the Legislature. Mr. Fite commented about his experience, and Ms. Storck explained how the planning and budget process aids the agency in meeting goals and being able to respond to questions about agency programs. Chairman Herrmann stated that the system has changed, but the process-- what ultimately guides the agency--has stayed the same. Mr. Strong said that the agency should go through the planning process, this is the system it has to work with, and staff makes it a productive exercise.

Ms. Storck continued the discussion of state goals and said she is confident the agency goals fit within the state goals, except administrative costs aren’t specifically within a program description, although administrative costs make everything else can happen, but she is confident there is justification for the Water Resources Board. Ms. Lambert said she applauded the process and the environmental culture and she had reviewed the goals, key performances and the OCWP, and the only one not referenced was Tribal Consultation and Resolution. She said that while it is “out of our hands” at this time, she would like that it not be a disconnect from the strategic plan, and that language be included that the OWRB act as a resource to the resolution group as appropriate and necessary. She said as a priority recommendation, it should be acknowledged the OWRB has a role. Mr. Strong responded that recommendation is not specific -- not because it isn’t thought to be important -- but because of being able to measure progress.

Chairman Herrmann concluded the discussion and shared his reflection through experience as a former OSU adjunct professor of strategic planning, that the agency’s plan met the process that good goals are smart goals and target dates are achievable, it raises the bar from the previous plan, is an effective plan and aligns from top to bottom and everyone knows where they fit; it reflects accountability and responsibility, it is a communication mechanism both internally and externally to the organization, and allocates resources and establishes priorities. He asked through the process, had the agency determined things that should not be done, and Mr. Strong and Ms. Storck answered that through the renovation of the plan three years ago, programs were identified that could not be

performed at the level it should i.e., in water quality, and cloud seeding. Chairman Herrmann stated he would give the agency plan good marks. He said no action was required by the Board but that input from members is welcomed throughout the process each year. (The strategic plan is attached, and accessible on the agency website: http://www.owrb.ok.gov/about/management/strategic_plan.php).

5. SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

- A. No items. There were no items for the Board's consideration.
- B. Consideration of and Possible Action on Items Transferred from Summary Disposition Agenda, if any. There were no items transferred from the Summary Disposition Agenda.

6. PROPOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman Herrmann

As authorized by the Oklahoma Open Meeting Act in Section 307(B)(4) of Title 25 of the Oklahoma Statutes, an executive session may be held for the purpose of confidential communications between a public body and its attorney concerning a pending investigation, claim, or action if the public body, with the advice of its attorney, determines that disclosure will seriously impair the ability of the public body to process the claim or conduct a pending investigation, litigation or proceeding in the public interest.

Pursuant to this provision, the Board proposes to hold an executive session for the purpose of discussing Chickasaw Nation and Choctaw Nation v. Fallin, et al., and Oklahoma Water Resources Board v. United States on behalf of the Choctaw Nation et al.

Statement by legal counsel advising on whether disclosure of the discussion of the litigation will seriously impair the ability of the Board and State to conduct the present and proposed litigation in the public interest.

OWRB Assistant General Counsel Sara Gibson stated that an Executive Session is proper in these circumstances to discuss pending litigation, and that the Board's ability to discuss that would be impaired without the Executive Session.

- A. Vote on whether to hold Executive Session upon determination that disclosure of the discussion of the litigation will seriously impair the ability of the Board and State to conduct the present and proposed litigation in the public interest. Before it can be held, the Executive Session must be authorized by a majority vote of a quorum of members present and such vote must be recorded.

Chairman Herrmann asked for a motion to enter Executive Session. Mr. Sevenoaks moved and Mr. Drummond seconded that the Board enter Executive Session.

AYE: Fite, Sevenoaks, Drummond, Lambert, Herrmann

ANY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drake (Mr. Drake departed the room at 10:36 a.m. and returned at 10:38 a.m.)
Buchanan, Feaver, Hitch

B. Designation of person to keep written minutes of Executive Session, if authorized.

Chairman Herrmann designated Executive Secretary Mary Schooley to keep written minutes. He noted Mr. Glenn Coffee had joined the meeting.

C. Executive Session, if authorized.

The Board entered the Executive Session at 10:41 a.m.

(Mr. Sevenoaks departed the room at 10:38 a.m. and returned at 10:42 a.m.)

Return to open meeting and possible vote or action on any matter discussed in the Executive Session.

At 11:07 a.m., the meeting room doors were opened, and Chairman Herrmann asked for a motion to return to Regular Session.

Mr. Sevenoaks moved to return to Regular Session, and Mr. Fite seconded.

AYE: Fite, Sevenoaks, Lambert, Drummond, Herrmann

ANY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drake (Mr. Drake departed the room at 11:07 a.m.)
Buchanan, Feaver, Hitch

The Board returned to Regular Session at 11:08 a.m. There was no action by the Board on matters discussed in the Executive Session.

7. NEW BUSINESS

Under the Open Meeting Act, this agenda item is authorized only for matters not known about or which could not have been reasonably foreseen prior to the time of posting the agenda or any revised agenda. Chairman Herrmann stated there were no New Business items for the Board’s consideration. He reminded the members the next meeting is December 16, 2014, at 9:30 a.m. in Oklahoma City.

8. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Herrmann adjourned the meeting of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board at 11:09 a.m. on November 18, 2014.

OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCES BOARD

_____/s/_____
Rudolf J. Herrmann, Chairman

_____/s/_____
Linda P. Lambert, Vice Chairman

_____/s/_____
Edward H. Fite

_____Absent_____
F. Ford Drummond

_____/s/_____
Marilyn Feaver

_____/s/_____
Richard Sevenoaks

_____/s/_____
Bob Drake

_____/s/_____
Jason W. Hitch

ATTEST:

_____Absent_____
Tom Buchanan, Secretary (SEAL)