

OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCES BOARD
OFFICIAL MINUTES
February 17, 2015

1. Call to Order

The regular monthly meeting of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board was called to order by Chairman Rudy Herrmann at 9:30 a.m., on February 17, 2015, in the second floor meeting room of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 3800 N. Classen Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

The meeting was conducted pursuant to the Oklahoma Open Meeting Law with due and proper notice provided pursuant to Sections 303 and 311 thereof. The agenda was posted on February 12, 2015, at 4:45 p.m. at the Oklahoma Water Resources Board's offices at 3800 N. Classen Boulevard, and provided on the agency's website.

Chairman Herrmann welcomed everyone to the meeting, and asked for the roll call of members.

A. Roll Call

Board Members Present

Rudy Herrmann, Chairman
Linda Lambert, Vice Chairman
Tom Buchanan, Secretary
Bob Drake
Marilyn Feaver
Ed Fite
Richard Sevenoaks

Board Members Absent

Ford Drummond
Jason Hitch

Staff Members Present

J.D. Strong, Executive Director
Rob Singletary, General Counsel
Amanda Storck, Chief, Administrative Services Division
Joe Freeman, Chief, Financial Assistance Division
Julie Cunningham, Chief, Planning and Management Division
Derek Smithee, Water Quality Programs Division
Mary Schooley, Executive Secretary

Others Present

Wayne Twyman, Boise City Public Works Authority, Boise City, OK
Justin Hodge, Department of Environmental Quality, Oklahoma City, OK
Sam Hamilton, Town of Shattuck, OK

Rick Schlegel, Cardinal Engineering, Woodward, OK
Mareta Woodard, Cardinal Engineer, Woodward, OK
Michael Lowden, Town of Fort Supply, OK
Trey Walker, Cardinal Engineering, Woodward, OK
Randy Worden, Central Oklahoma Master Conservancy District
Robert Thompson, Logan County Rural Water District #1, Guthrie, OK
Jeff Everett, Oklahoma Gas & Electric, Oklahoma City, OK
Jim Barnett, Doerner Saunders Daniels Anderson, Oklahoma City, OK
Mike Mathis, Continental Resources, Oklahoma City, OK
Russ Doughty, Oklahomans for Responsible Water Policy
Kinsey Money, Norvell Consulting
Charles Swinton, BancFirst, Oklahoma City, OK
Mike Wofford, Doerner Saunders Daniels Anderson, Oklahoma City, OK

B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Herrmann stated the draft minutes of the January 20, 2015 Regular Meeting had been electronically distributed.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the January 20, 2015, minutes, as presented and Mr. Buchanan seconded. There were no comments or corrections, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: Herrmann

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

C. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. J.D. Strong, Executive Director, addressed the members and asked Ms. Storck to review the written legislative report provided to the members. Ms. Storck referred to the recent federal activity section of the report, noting Representative Bridenstine was appointed Chairman of the House Science, Space and Technology Committee's Subcommittee on the Environment, and Senator James Lankford was named to several subcommittees on the Senate Appropriations Committee, in particular the Energy and Water Development Subcommittee. She updated the members on the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee and the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee joint hearing on the Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS) rule, and she also mentioned the House Energy and Commerce Subcommittee on Environment and Economy held a hearing regarding H.R. 212 about the Drinking Water Protection Act in response to the algae blooms in Lake Erie affecting Toledo, Ohio's drinking water last summer. Mr. Strong added that the WOTUS hearing held by Senator Inhofe's committee discussed the rule would be finalized in Spring, but at the COE hearing Secretary Darcy said it would be toward the end of Summer. Ms. Storck noted the comments the committee received about the rule, the EPA has launched a finance center to improve community water infrastructure and resiliency, FEMA has revised its fee schedule for processing requests for maps and insurance products, and EPA announced \$1 million in grants to protect and restore wetlands. She highlighted portions of the President's \$4 trillion dollar budget of interest to the Board including an overall decrease in the amount of SRF funding of \$54 million, and a decrease of \$800 million in the COE budget. Regarding state activity, Ms. Storck said the first deadline for measures to be out of

committee is February 26, and she expected that many of the measures that have been introduced will not be alive after that date. The Governor's budget recommended a 6.25% cut to most agencies, including the OWRB, which equates to a \$412,914 cut over last year's 5.5% cut in appropriations. It is anticipated the deficit will be more than the Governor's budget had anticipated, from \$300 million to \$600 million. She said the divisions are preparing for the impact to the agency which would result in less field staff hired, fewer field visits and staff time, no replacement of the legislative liaison position, decrease in REAP grant funds, and cuts to pass through funding. She said staff is monitoring the measures included in the report. The members asked questions about a resolution to call for a state question to amend the Constitution to protect farming and ranching; water and water rights/regional water sustainability act; and the difference between the working group and the regional planning group. Mr. Strong highlighted a bill in the Senate to create a regional water planning system based on the Board's nine geographical regions--the OCWP recommended regional water planning-- and the bill uses the Board's regions and allows planning to be voluntary. He also noted a measure focusing on regional water development by maximizing water resources, and a measure creating a working group specifically to transfer surface water. He said there were a number of shell bills introduced, but there were no bills requested by the agency.

Mr. Strong reminded the members that Water Appreciation Day at the Capitol is March 11, and the March Board meeting will be held that afternoon at 1:30 p.m. He has met with several legislators about issues, staff will report on mediation efforts at the next meeting; he met with the American Farmers and Ranchers Policy Board, the Bureau of Reclamation project offices regarding permitting to protect reservoir yields during drought times, and spoke to OSU graduate class. The Illinois River Pilot Study Public/Stakeholder Meeting was held in Tahlequah -- the group will be looking at instream flow issues in the Illinois River and seeking input from citizens. Mr. Strong met with the City of Stillwater about dam safety issues at Lake Carl Blackwell, and met with City of Blackwell and local irrigators. The Canadian River Commission will meet in Santa Fe, NM, on March 3; and Mr. Strong will be in Washington D.C. the week of March 3 for meetings with Oklahoma's Congressional delegation. He will also be in Washington, D.C. the week of March 16 with the Western States Water Council meeting with Congressional and federal agencies regarding WestFAST. Following the Board meeting, the Audit Committee will meet, but the Water for 2060 Council meeting has been cancelled for today. Mr. Strong concluded his report.

D. Monthly Budget Report

Ms. Amanda Storck, Chief, Administrative Services Division, stated to the members that the monthly budget report for January 2015 had been provided. She said the agency has 64% of total funding available with 58% of the fiscal year remaining. She said she is currently working with House and Senate staff to educate them on the agency's revolving funds, and she explained the "200" funds listed in the report can be confusing. The Governor's budget recommended the Legislature conduct a sweep of the revolving funds in light of the \$300 million shortfall--these are not "use it or lose it" funds, they are revolving and can roll forward. Ms. Storck and Mr. Strong explained that the revolving funds come in at different times of the year and are used to fund program operations, but for example, fees can come in one month and must be used over the 12-month period, but fiscal staff reviewing the balance may assume that's a balance that can be available. Mr. Sevenoaks asked if the agency could be in danger of losing the revolving funds, and Mr. Strong answered, yes, when focusing on the balances in the revolving fund and looking to make up \$300-\$600 million in shortfall, everything is on the table.

Ms. Storck concluded the report stating the budget hearing went well. Chairman Herrmann commented that due to current drought conditions the agency's work is important, and Mr. Buchanan asked about the balances listed under salary expense. There were no other questions by members.

2. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION

Mr. Joe Freeman, Chief, Financial Assistance Division, stated to the member that the first three items on the agenda are for the consideration of Water for 2060 grants. He explained \$1.5 million in funding was appropriated during the 2014 legislative funding for drought-related project. The appropriation resulted in the Water for 2060 grant program with ranking criteria focused on the level of drought an applicant was experiencing, and water savings and efficiencies as a result of the project. These two categories count for one-half of the 120 points possible. After these categories are evaluated, the general criteria used for other OWRB grant programs are applied. The additional categories include water and sewer rates, amount of indebtedness on water and sewer systems, local funds applied to the project, median household income, ability to finance the project without a grant, and if the project will benefit other systems. Applications for funding were due in November 2014, and 58 applications were received totaling \$17 million dollars.

Chairman Herrmann asked if all applicants met the criteria and staff responded three applicants did not meet the criteria. He suggested the number of qualified applicants is reflective of the amount of Water for 2060 need that exists throughout the state. Mr. Strong added that is likely an underestimation of the need as some entities may not apply because of having to put together a project within the timeframe required, and all entities do not apply for all of its needs. There will be additional grant applications presented to the Board at a future meeting. At this time, there is no additional funding proposed in the Governor's budget for this program.

A. Consideration of and Possible Action on a Proposed Order Approving Water for 2060 Grant for Infrastructure Project for Boise City Public Works Authority, Cimarron County. Recommended for Approval. Mr. Freeman stated this application for a Water for 2060 grant is by the Boise City Public Works Authority located in Cimarron County. He said the Authority is requesting \$135,000.00 to replace 2,000 feet of 6-inch water line, and 1,375 feet of 2-inch water line. The system has supplied water from six water wells and the area of these lines is experiencing approximately 50% water loss. It is estimated that replacement of the lines will reduce water loss by 1.1 million gallons per year. Staff recommended approval of the grant application.

Mr. Duane Twyman, City Manager, was present in support of the grant application.

Ms. Lambert moved to approve the grant to the Boise City Public Works Authority, and Mr. Drake seconded. There were no questions, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann
NAY: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

B. Consideration of and Possible Action on a Proposed Order Approving Water for 2060 Grant for Infrastructure Project for Fort Supply Public Works Authority, Woodward County. Recommended for Approval. Mr. Freeman said this item is an \$397,700.00 Water for 2060 grant request by the Fort Supply Public Works Authority in Woodward County. Fort Supply purchases water from the City of Woodward and the distribution system is composed of mainly cast iron lines. The Authority is requesting the funding to replace existing lines for 10,150 feet of pvc line, costing \$556,450.00. The

project will be funded through the Water for 2060 grant, and \$158,750.00 in REAP grant funds. The lines proposed to be replaced have been experiencing 60% water loss, and it is estimated that by replacing the lines that loss will be reduced to around 10% saving approximately 3.7 million gallons per year. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Mike Lowden, Chairman, was present in support of the grant request.

There were no questions, and Mr. Fite moved to approve the grant to the Fort Supply Public Works Authority. Mr. Sevenoaks seconded the motion. Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

C. Consideration of and Possible Action on a Proposed Order Approving Water for 2060 Grant for Infrastructure Project for Town of Shattuck, Ellis County. Recommended for Approval. Mr. Freeman stated this item is an \$500,000.00 Water for 2060 grant request from the Town of Shattuck located in Ellis County. He said there are four wells that serve as the Town's source of water with distribution systems consisting of two storage tanks, and water lines 2-inch and 4-inch in size. Most of the lines are cast iron or steel which are suffering as much as 60% water loss. The proposed project will replace the existing line with 12,000 feet of 8-inch line and 300 feet of 6-inch line. The project is estimated at \$607,000.00, with funding of \$47,000.00 from the Oklahoma Economic Development Authority, \$60,000.00 in local funds, and the Water for 2060 grant requested of the Board. Replacement of the lines will save Shattuck approximately 12.3 million gallons of water per year. Staff recommended approval.

Mr. Sam Hamilton, Town Administrator, was present in support of the grant application.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the Water for 2060 Grant to the Town of Shattuck.

Ms. Lambert commented that all of these applications regard decaying infrastructure and there are many more towns with the same issues. She asked if there is a way to help others as the amount of water being saved is significant. Mr. Strong responded if there were more funds, there could be more grants, but the agency offers other financing options, however, there is more interest when grant dollars are available.

Mr. Buchanan seconded the motion. There were no other questions, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

Chairman Herrmann commented about the amount of water being saved through the projects, and Mr. Fite added that smaller communities do not have the ability to borrow.

D. Consideration of and Possible Action on a Proposed Order Approving Drinking Water Funding Application for Rural Water, Sewer and Solid Waste Management District No. 1, Logan County. Recommended for Approval. Mr. Freeman said this item is a \$1.3 million dollar loan request from Logan County Rural Water, Sewer and Solid Waste Management District No. 1. He said the District is requesting the loan to construct approximately 53,145 feet of 6-inch water line from Charter Oak Road north to State Highway 33. The line will eventually serve approximately 100 customers. Mr. Freeman noted provisions of the loan agreement, and said it will be funded through the Drinking Water State Revolving Loan Program. The District has two outstanding loans with the Board with a combined

principal balance of \$1.5 million dollars. The water connections have increased in excess of 30% over the past ten years, and the debt coverage ratio stands at 2.45-times. Staff recommended approval.

Vice President Jeremiah Hagemeier; Robert Thompson, General Manager; David Wyatt, Engineer; and Stewart England, bond counsel, were present in support of the loan application. He also recognized Justin Hodge with the DEQ, and Connie Guinn with the OWRB who assisted the District.

There were no questions, and Chairman Herrmann asked for a motion.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the Drinking Water SRF loan application to the Logan County RWSSWMD, and Ms. Lambert seconded. Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

Ms. Lambert asked if there was a way to determine how much water loss occurs across the state in these communities, and Mr. Freeman responded that through the Drinking Water program, the DEQ is developing a leak detection audit program; the OWRB has had a program with the Rural Water Association. Mr. Strong added this has been discussed at the Water for 2060 Advisory Council meetings which he anticipated how to collect and compile that information to use as a benchmark for improvement would be a part of the final report and recommendations. The Board members talked about water conservation as part of the Water Plan, and that while there is always a need for more money, the Board is grateful for the funding that has been provided.

3. SUMMARY DISPOSITION AGENDA ITEMS

Any item listed under this Summary Disposition Agenda may, at the requested of any member of the Board, the Board's staff, or any other person attending this meeting, may be transferred to the Special Consideration Agenda. Under the Special Consideration Agenda, separate discussion and vote or other action may be taken on any items already listed under that agenda or items transferred to that agenda from this Summary Disposition Agenda.

A. Requests to Transfer Items from Summary Disposition Agenda to the Special Consideration Agenda, and Action on Whether to Transfer Such Items.

Chairman Herrmann read the statement above and asked if there were requests to transfer items from the Summary Disposition Agenda to the Special Consideration Agenda. There were no requests to transfer items.

B. Discussion, Questions, and Responses Pertaining to Any Items Remaining on Summary Disposition Agenda and Action on Items and Approval of Items listed.

Chairman Herrmann asked if there any changes to the Summary Disposition Agenda. Ms. Cunningham asked that item 3.E.2., #2014-585 for Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company be withdrawn at this time. Chairman Herrmann stated he would entertain a motion to approve the Summary Disposition Agenda.

Ms. Feaver moved to approve the Summary Disposition Agenda as amended, and Mr. Drake seconded. There being no further questions regarding items listed, Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

4. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO PERMANENT RULES

Chairman Herrmann

A. Consideration of and Possible Action on Adoption of Rules Amendments in Chapter 45 – Oklahoma’s Water Quality Standards

Staff recommends for approval the proposed changes to OAC 785:45 listed below. In order to allow for further evaluation and consideration of the comments received during the public comment period, staff is not recommending for approval the previously proposed portions of OAC 785:45 related to Water Quality Standards for wetlands.

1. Summary – Mr. Derek Smithee

Subchapter 5. Surface Water Quality Standards

Part 3. Beneficial Uses and Criteria to Protect Uses

785.45-5-12. Fish and Wildlife Propagation [AMENDED]

Appendix G. Numerical Criteria To Protect Beneficial Uses. Table 1. [REVOKED]

Appendix G. Numerical Criteria To Protect Beneficial Uses. Table 1. [NEW]

Appendix E. Requirements For Development of Site-Specific Criteria For Certain Parameters [REVOKED]

Appendix E. Requirements For Development of Site-Specific Criteria For Certain Parameters [NEW]

Mr. Derek Smithee, Chief, Water Quality Programs Division, stated to the members the staff brought to the Board rule modifications in Chapter 45 and recommends that all rulemaking associated with the wetlands water quality standards be withdrawn. He said staff had worked over the past several years on that rulemaking and based on the comments received, will need to conduct additional work over the next year to ensure the rules will be embraced by all stakeholders.

Mr. Smithee did recommend approval of the amendments associated with the water effects ratio and dissolved oxygen use support assessment protocols for reservoirs. There were additional editorial changes suggested regarding digits and a line on the table inadvertently omitted from the notice.

Regarding the wetlands proposal, Mr. Smithee stated staff had worked diligently to bring to the Board a rule that had a strong foundation on both science and policy but did not get all stakeholders on board and received much response and discussion about that rule. Staff is committed to work toward next rulemaking and return to the Board with a rule that will resolve all the misunderstanding and miscommunication and have stakeholder support.

Chairman Herrmann asked for clarification on what the Board will be voting on. Mr. Smithee responded only the rule amendments associated with the water effects ratio study at Broken Bow and the dissolved oxygen amendment associated with use support assessment protocols – there is a companion piece proposed for Chapter 46 (next item). Mr. Strong added the Board is voting on those items listed in the agenda.

2. Questions and Discussion by Board Members. There were no questions by members.

3. Comments by Public. There were no comments by members of the public.

4. Vote on whether to adopt recommended amendments as presented or as may be revised after discussion and comment.

Mr. Fite moved to approve the proposed amendments to Chapter 45, and Mr. Sevenoaks seconded.

Staff stated there were no other comments received other than that of support from Broken Bow; only positive comments had been received on the amendments recommended for approval.

Mr. Strong recognized OWRB staff Mr. Jason Childress and Ms. Becky Veiga for their hard work to get all stakeholders to understand exactly what was being proposed in regard to the wetlands issue, and he also complimented Mr. Smithee. He said there was support from the Agriculture community, the Conservation Commission, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Environmental Quality, but at the end of the day there were misconceptions about what the rule was and wasn't and erroneous information in the newspaper, and there were constructive comments received that warrant additional work. Chairman Herrmann said it is not the first time a rule has been deferred for further work.

Chairman Herrmann stated there is a motion and second, and there were no other questions by members or comments by the public. Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann
NAY: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

Chairman Herrmann commented that he believed this proposal became confused with the (EPA) Waters of the US (WOTUS) matter, which had created uproar among citizens. Mr. Smithee said that staff learned there is never too much coordination and communication, and that timing was unfortunate. Chairman Herrmann reminded everyone to consider the "Big Picture" which is the ultimate benefit to wetlands: erosion control, flood control, groundwater recharge and discharge, cost-effective water quality benefit, fisheries and rare species habitat, and recreational benefits. He challenged the group as it continues work during the next year to focus on achieving the desirable outcomes and be considerate of the issues that have been raised.

Mr. Drake thanked the staff for its work, as well as the groups that participated in the process for their work, and he looked forward to the groups coming together.

B. Consideration of and Possible Action on Adoption of Rules Amendments in Chapter 46 - Implementation of Oklahoma's Water Quality Standards

Staff recommends for approval the proposed changes to OAC 785:46 listed below:

1. Summary – Mr. Derek Smithee
Subchapter 15. Use Support Assessment Protocols
785:46-15-5. Assessment of Fish and Wildlife support [AMENDED]

Mr. Smithee stated the proposed amendments to Chapter 46 is the second piece to the use support assessment protocols (USAP) for dissolved oxygen, and how USAPs are made in reservoirs. He said staff had only received positive comments, and recommended approval.

Chairman Herrmann stated he would accept a motion. Ms. Lambert moved to approve the proposed amendments to Chapter 46, and Mr. Fite seconded.

2. Questions and Discussion by Board Members. There were no questions by Board members.
3. Comments by Public. There were no comments by the public.
4. Vote on whether to adopt recommended amendments as presented or as may be revised after discussion and comment.

There were no further questions or comments, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

C. Consideration of and Possible Action on Adoption of Rules Amendments in Chapter 50 – Financial Assistance

Staff recommends for approval the proposed changes to OAC 785:50 listed below:

1. Summary - Mr. Joe Freeman

Subchapter 1. General Provisions

785:50:1-2. Definitions [AMENDED]

Subchapter 3. Project and Entities Eligible

785:50-3-1. Project Eligibility [AMENDED]

Subchapter 7. Water and Sewer Program (Bond Proceed Loans and Emergency Grants) Requirements and Procedures

785:50-7-5. Emergency grant priority points system [AMENDED]

Subchapter 8. Rural Economic Action Plan (REAP) Grant Program Requirements and Procedures

785:50-8-2. Definitions [AMENDED]

785:50-8-4. Applicable law, deadline for applications, eligible project costs [AMENDED]

785:50-8-5. REAP grant priority point system [AMENDED]

Subchapter 9. Clean Water State Revolving Fund Regulations

Part 1. General Provisions

785:50-9-9. Definitions

Part 3. General Program Requirements

785:50-9-21. Eligible project [AMENDED]

785:50-9-23. Clean Water SRF Project Priority System [AMENDED]

785:50-9-24. Intended use plan [AMENDED]

785:50-9-28. Pre-application for funding [AMENDED]

785:50-9-33. Application for financial assistance [AMENDED]

785:50-9-35. Loan closing [AMENDED]

785:50-9-38. Construction phase [AMENDED]

785:50-9-45. Compliance with federal authorities [AMENDED]

Part 7. SRF Environmental Review Process

785:50-9-60. Requirement of environmental review [AMENDED]

785:50-9-61. Environmental information required by the Board [AMENDED]

785:50-9-62. Environmental review by the Board [AMENDED]

Mr. Freeman stated that since last month's Board meeting, staff had not received any comments on the proposed amendments to Chapter 50. There was one change on page 26 recommended by staff regarding eligible categories for financing, correcting "Category 10." Staff recommended approval of the proposed amendments as presented with the correction.

Chairman Herrmann stated he would accept a motion. Mr. Fite moved to approve the proposed amendments to Chapter 50, and Ms. Feaver seconded.

2. Questions and Discussion by Board Members. There were no questions by Board members.
3. Comments by Public. There were no comments by the public.

Chairman Herrmann asked if staff believed the program is adequately addressing needs in regard to the financial assistance criteria for conservation and enhanced criteria, and should there be additions in the future. Mr. Freeman responded he wasn't aware of anything to add, that the smaller communities are not able to afford debt and without the grant funds would not be able to replace lines. Mr. Strong added there is no problem with the Board's rules, but a lack of funding and resources. He said the Water for 2060 Council, and the agency, is promoting regionalization and consolidation which could benefit communities. Mr. Freeman said staff would be presenting a grant for consolidation next month, and is assisting another entity through the Emergency Drought Grant program that is addressing supply issues. Ms. Lambert asked if there are numerical criteria in the grant application evaluation as an incentive for consolidation, and Mr. Freeman answered there is 100% principal forgiveness for consolidation.

4. Vote on whether to adopt recommended amendments as presented or as may be revised after discussion and comment.

There were no other questions or comments, and Chairman Herrmann called for the vote.

AYE: Fite, Buchanan, Feaver, Sevenoaks, Drake, Lambert, Herrmann

NAY: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Drummond, Hitch

5. SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

- A. No items. There were no items for the Board's consideration.
- B. Consideration of and Possible Action on Items Transferred from Summary Disposition Agenda, if any. There were no items transferred from the Summary Disposition Agenda.

6. NEW BUSINESS

Under the Open Meeting Act, this agenda item is authorized only for matters not known about or which could not have been reasonably foreseen prior to the time of posting the agenda or any revised agenda. Chairman Herrmann stated there were no New Business items for the Board's consideration.

There were no new business items for the Board's consideration. He reminded everyone the March meeting will be held in conjunction with Water Day at the Capitol, and that the meeting would be held at 1:30 p.m. at the OWRB offices.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Herrmann adjourned the meeting of the Oklahoma Water Resources Board at 10:35 a.m. on February 17, 2015.

OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCES BOARD

_____/s/_____
Rudolf J. Herrmann, Chairman

_____/s/_____
Linda P. Lambert, Vice Chairman

_____/s/_____
Edward H. Fite

_____/s/_____
F. Ford Drummond

_____/s/_____
Marilyn Feaver

_____/s/_____
Richard Sevenoaks

_____/s/_____
Bob Drake

_____/s/_____
Jason W. Hitch

ATTEST:

_____Absent_____
Tom Buchanan, Secretary (SEAL)